

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

#### ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA #2009-29 Site: 57 Dane Street

Date of Decision: September 2, 2009

**Decision:** Petition Denied

Date Filed with City Clerk: September 9, 2009

# **ZBA DECISION**

**Applicant Name**: Kaj Vandkjaer

**Applicant Address:** 21 Surrey Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

**Property Owner Name**: Jose Batista Pereira

**Property Owner Address:** 57 Dane Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant & Owner seek a special permit (SZO §5.1) to construct a

1740± sf addition to a nonconforming dwelling (§4.4.1). The use would change from a one- to a two-family by-right. RB zone. Ward 2.

Zoning District/Ward:RB zone/Ward 2Zoning Approval Sought:§5.1 & §4.4.1Date of Application:June 23, 2009Date (s) of Public Hearing:8/5, 8/19 & 9/2/09Date of Decision:September 2, 2009

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2009-29 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on August 5, 2009. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After two hearings of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.





Date: September 9, 2009 Case #: ZBA #2009-29 Site: 57 Dane Street

## **DESCRIPTION:**

The proposal is to construct an 893± square foot addition to the rear of the dwelling. The addition would house a second dwelling unit that would have two bedrooms. The addition would be 2 ½ stories and the roof would have the same height and pitch as the roof on the existing structure. The siding and trim on the existing structure would be replaced and the glass in the curved window in the ½ story would be restored. The driveway would be expanded to hold three parallel parking spaces with the required maneuvering area. Two of the mature trees in the side yard would be removed to accommodate the parking spaces.

#### FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §5.1 & §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. Expansion of a house that is not well kept and has many cars in the yard would be more impactful than the existing structure.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to providing for and maintaining the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City. The proposal is also consistent with the purpose of the district as a two-family dwelling.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the project is not designed to be compatible with the existing house and surrounding area. Properties in the area have large backyards that should be maintained.

### **DECISION:**

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to deny the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **DENY** the request.





Date: September 9, 2009 Case #: ZBA #2009-29 Site: 57 Dane Street

| Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:  | Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis |
|--|---|
| Attest, by the Administrative Assistant:   | Dawn M. Pereira   |
| Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a deta |   |

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE** 

SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

| This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed | on in the Office of the Office | City Clerk |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| and twenty days have elapsed, and                     |                                |            |
| FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN                                |                                |            |
| there have been no appeals filed in the Off           | ce of the City Clerk, or       |            |
| any appeals that were filed have been final           | y dismissed or denied.         |            |
| FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN                          |                                |            |
| there have been no appeals filed in the Off           | ce of the City Clerk, or       |            |
| there has been an appeal filed.                       | •                              |            |
| Signed  | City Clerk Date                |            |



